

BEST OF 2008 ARTFORUM

DECEMBER 2008 I N T E R N A T I O N A L

Betty Parsons SPANIERMAN MODERN

The biographies of New York School artists are often sprinkled liberally with the name of Betty Parsons, who is acclaimed for staging groundbreaking shows of their work at her Fifty-seventh Street gallery. She is less well known as an artist in her own right, or rather, while the fact that she was an artist is quickly learned by those interested, the chance to see her work in depth remains rare. This show was accordingly welcome.

Parsons trained in painting and sculpture in the Paris of the 1920s, and the show included both media, though the paintings were outnumbered by reliefs—wall-mounted and freestanding constructions of found wood fragments, fitted together jigsawlike and painted with a candidly unvirtuosic hand. To the extent that Parsons's art has a public image, these are the works that define it. They are a long way from the sculpture of her teacher, Antoine Bourdelle, who had himself been a student of Rodin's, as his well-muscled bronzes attest. Their deliberate modesty also distances them from the best-known works of such artists in Parsons's history as Jackson Pollock and Barnett Newman, who worked grandly on the scales of both physical size and artistic, nay, cosmic ambition. Yet Parsons's work shows traces of Abstract Expressionism's intellectual stew. The paintings may remind you here of Clyfford Still, there of Adolph Gottlieb, though they are, unfortunately, more static in their compositions and less distinctive in their surfaces; in the sculpture, meanwhile, the way to Parsons's use of found materials was opened by the Surrealists and before them the Cubists, all large-

shadowed ancestor figures in the AbEx genealogy. More specifically, the use of the word *totem* in one of Parsons's titles here (*Totem Materia-R*, 1980) recalls Pollock, who used it, too (in *Easter and the Totem* of 1953, for example, and elsewhere), and reinforces the feeling that Parsons shared his interest in Native American art and his sense of the power of Jungian symbols and dream images.

Again, though, the sculpture's modesty and playfulness imply altered motives. Its wooden parts, which Parsons often gathered during beach walks near her house on eastern Long Island, show their worn surfaces in places but are also painted in uneven bars and stripes. The colors—oranges, dark blues, brownish reds—are flat and dull, with *dull* meaning not "uninteresting" but "not bright," as though a little black or sand were mixed into them all, or else as if the dried, sun- and tide-bleached wood on which the paint lies had absorbed its vibrancy and shine. Parsons preferred discarded lumber scraps to unshaped driftwood, so that each piece has a junky geometry. Combined in composites whose rhythms are complicated by patterns of paint, they may suggest totems, yes, or stylized biomorphs, and their materials carry a burden of history and use. Where the artists in Parsons's stable shot for the sky, she herself looked to abstraction for a cultivated impurity.

Looking at this show, I thought of friends of my parents' generation, inspired by Miesian and Scandinavian design, who sparsely decorated their modernist homes with collections of pebbles, Noguchi lamps, Japanese textiles, the odd seashell—a kind of reductivist *Wunderkammer*. In its artfully altered found-object quality, Parsons's sculpture would fit right in here, evoking both the outside weather of nature and a feeling of social context and history, remote, perhaps foreign, yet familiar because human. Shades of the powerful spirits intuited and forces aspired to by Picasso and Pollock drift through the works, but the overall manner is friendly and domestic—decorative in a fine, nonpejorative sense. One suspects it was with relief that Parsons turned to her own work after days spent dealing with the ambitions and temperaments of her friends.

—David Frankel



Betty Parsons, *Round About*, 1974, mixed media on wood, 22 x 20 x 1½".